

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

VALERIE GOLDSTON,

98 CV 5259

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM
AND
ORDER

-against

PREMIER SALONS INTERNATIONAL,

Defendant.

-----x

VALERIE GOLDSTON
10 Hill Street #2
Newark, New Jersey 07102
plaintiff pro se.

PREMIER SALONS INTERNATIONAL
defendant.

NICKERSON, District Judge:

Plaintiff pro se brings this action pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2e to 2000e-17.

Plaintiff now moves for appointment of counsel. Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co., 877 F.2d 170 (2d Cir. 1989), articulates the factors the court must consider before appointing counsel for an indigent litigant: (1)

whether the indigent's position seems likely to be of substance, (2) the indigent's ability to investigate the crucial facts, (3) whether conflicting evidence implicating the need for cross-examination will be the major proof presented to the fact finder, (4) the indigent's ability to present the case or obtain private counsel, (5) the complexity of the legal issues, (6) the availability of counsel, (7) and special reasons why appointment of counsel would be likely to lead to a more just determination.

In Cooper, the court said that only if, after close scrutiny of the merits of the claim, the court finds it to be of substance should the other criteria be considered. 877 F.2d at 172, quoting Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986).

The court has reviewed plaintiff's claims and cannot at this time say whether they are likely to be of substance. The court thus does not consider the other factors. The motion for appointment of counsel is denied. The court will reconsider the motion on renewal as the case progresses.

So ordered.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
August 11, 1998

Eugene H. Nickerson
Eugene H. Nickerson, U.S.D.J.